

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ISSAC GAINES,

Plaintiff,

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01750-GMN-NJK

## ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 27), of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, which states that this case should be dismissed.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* Min. Order, ECF No. 27) (setting a September 14, 2020 deadline for objections).

///

///

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 27), is  
3 **ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**.

5 The Clerk is instructed to close the case.

6 Dated this 26 day of October, 2020.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
United States District Court  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25